Transverse momentum Dependence of π^0 SSA in FMS Run 11 CIPANP

S. Heppelmann (PSU)

June 2 , 2012

- Background
  - Physics Questions
  - FMS History
- FMS Event Topology; Event Selection
- **Cross Ratio** method

vs.

$\mathbf{A(\phi)=A_N \cos(\phi)}$ Fitting method

- Explore high statistics A_N for Run 11
  - P_T dependence for fixed X_F
  - Dependence on event topology

Proton Forward Scattering at High PT
QCD Perspective

PQCD (Leading Twist):

Factorized Cross Section= (initial state) x (quark scattering) x (fragmentation)

• Does good job of predicting the “> 90% “ of the cross section that does not depend on spin.

• Leading twist cross section does not depend on transverse polarization.
•Spin Dependence requirrefinements like:
- Beyond Collinear Factorization **(Sivers)**
- Models of spin dependent factorization **(Collins)**
- Models that go **beyond leading twist.**





Forward EM Calorimetry In STAR.

1) Cross Ratio Transverse Asymmetry
vs
2) A(ϕ) Fit

Method 1:
Cross Ratio:

$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} \cong \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow}S^{\downarrow}} - \sqrt{S^{\uparrow}N^{\downarrow}}}{\sqrt{N^{\uparrow}S^{\downarrow}} + \sqrt{S^{\uparrow}N^{\downarrow}}}$$



Left(N): Cos(ϕ)<-0.5



Right(S): Cos(ϕ)>0.5

Method 2: $a_N(\phi) = a_0 + A_N \cos(\phi)$

Fix a_0 for full data set

For many small data subsets ….. one parameter fit for A_N

Advantage: Every fitted value of A_N comes with error and chi².

New paper on η/ π^0 at X_F>0.5



- π^0 cross section in **good agreement with PQCD calculation.**
- η/ π^0 cross section ratio similar to that observed where jet fragmentation is dominant.
- A_N (η) > A_N(π^0) for X_F > 0.55





STAR Published Run 6 (FPD $\sqrt{s}$ =200GeV)

- Rising A_N with X_F ($0<X_F<0.5$) from 0% to 5-10%
- No evidence of fall in A_N with increasing P_T.





From FMS Run 8, STAR has Expanded Rapidity Coverage -1<Y<4.2

STAR Forward Meson Spectrometer
2.5 < Y < 4.0





arXiv:0901.2763 + A.Ogawa @CIPANP09

- Leading twist cross section does not depend on transverse polarization.
- Spin Dependence require refinements like:
  - Beyond Collinear Factorization **(Sivers)**
  - Models of spin dependent factorization **(Collins)**
  - Models that go **beyond leading twist.**

Sivers Model: Initial quark picks up k_T from initial state wave function, proportional to orbital angular momentum.

Jet based Asymmetry, significant dependence of A_N on the details of near side jet fragments is not expected!

Collins Model: Final π^0 picks up k_T from fragmentation of polarized quark. Vanishing jet asymmetry. Observed A_N will depend on the details of near side fragmentation!

Transverse momentum $\mathbf{p_T} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{p_T} \pm \mathbf{k_T}$
increases/decreases with transverse **spin up/down**

A toy model for proton Cross Section

$$\sigma(p_T) \sim \frac{(1-x_F)^5}{p_T{}^6}$$

$$A_N \sim \frac{\sigma(p_T+k_T)-\sigma(p_T-k_T)}{2\sigma(p_T)} \sim \frac{6k_T}{p_T} \sim \frac{1}{p_T}$$

Similar transverse momentum dependence **for higher twist.**

Isolation of π^0 's

Event Selection:

1. **Analyze FMS for all photon** candidates. (Showers that are fit successfully to photon hypothesis)
   A photon candidates must have a minimum of 6 GeV in the small inner detector or 4 GeV in the outer cells.
2. **Find Clusters of EM energy** grouping photon candidates that are within opening angle cone $\Delta\theta$ (relative to energy weighted center)
3. We consider 2 event classes {1 and 2}
   1. **$\Delta\theta$ =0.07** 2 Photon clusters, Pi0 Mass (**isolation radius of .07 radians**).

   2. **$\Delta\theta$ =0.03** 2 Photon clusters ,Pi0 Mass (**isolation radius of .03 radians**).





Class 1 Events: $\Delta\theta$ =0.07 2 Photon clusters, π^0 Mass (less inclusive)?

- 40 GeV < Epair <100 GeV
- Z=|(E1-E2)/(E1+E2)| <.7
- 2.6 < Y < 4.1 (Full FMS Pseudo-rapidity)
- Selection of π^0 Peak (0.02 <Mass<.3)



Cross Ratio Transverse Single Spin Asymmetry for Run 11

π^0 (2 Photon Cluster) Cluster size = 0.07 Rad

For Blue Beam (Forward)

Full FMS rapidity range (2.6<Y<4.1)







Left: Cos(ϕ)<-0.5

Left: Cos(ϕ)>0.5

X_F=0.16

X_F=0.4

Compare New √s=500 GeV Run 11 Full FMS Data on right with **Run 6 published data below.**





Compare **new $\sqrt{s}$=500 GeV Run 11** Full FMS Data on right with **Run 6 $\sqrt{s}$=200** published data below.



Scale of A_N similar but starts at lower X_F in Run 11 data.





Blue Beam A_N

As and alternative to Cross Ratio, the raw asymmetry
Can be plotted as a function of Cos(Phi)
(with polarization axis at Phi=π/2)
Slope =A_N
Intercept = Luminosity Ratio for data set
Luminosity ratio for all **~ - 0.25 ±.05 %**







Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. (Errors shown are statistical)





Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. (Errors shown are statistical)





Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. (Errors shown are statistical)





Higher Twist or other pQCD related models imply
A_N should fall at large P_T with at least 1 power of P_T.

The following plots fit the A_N vs P_T data to a power of P_T.

Fits are shown for both the 70 mRad and 30 mRad isolation cones.

Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. Fits to power of P_T. (Errors shown are statistical)



Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. Fits to power of P_T. (Errors shown are statistical)



Transverse Single Spin π^0 Asymmetry vs P_T for small and large π^0 isolation cones. Fits to power of P_T. (Errors shown are statistical)



Systematic Errors

- Run 11 blue beam polarization 48% ± 5%

$$\frac{\Delta A_N}{A_N} < 10\%$$

- Non π^0 signal <10%
- Similar asymmetries for Background:

$$\frac{\Delta P_T}{P_T} < 12\%$$

$$\frac{\Delta A_N}{A_N} < 5\%$$

$$\frac{\Delta A_N}{A_N} < 5\%$$

- P_T uncertainty
  - Energy 10%
  - Angle 6%

$$\frac{\Delta P_T}{P_T} < 12\%$$

$$\frac{\Delta A_N}{A_N} < 5\%$$

Total Systematic Asymmetry Error
Common to all data points. $\frac{\Delta A_N}{A_N} < 15\%$

Conclusion

- A_N less dependent on P_T that models predict out to Pt ~ 10 GeV/c.
- A_N larger for isolated π^0s.

- π^0 events with additional E&M signals in the same general direction as the π^0 (>~5 GeV between .03 and .07 radians from the π^0) <u>contribute little to the observed Transverse Single Spin Asymmetry.</u>



Extra

$$\Delta\sigma_x^{\ 2} = \frac{\sum_{i\,(e_i > e_0)} (x_i - x_0)^2 \ln(e_i / e_0)}{\sum_{i\,(e_i > e_0)} \ln(e_i / e_0)} \qquad \Delta\sigma_x \Delta\sigma_y = \frac{\sum_{i\,(e_i > e_0)} (x_i - x_0)(y_i - y_0) \ln(e_i / e_0)}{\sum_{i\,(e_i > e_0)} \ln(e_i / e_0)}$$

<u>Separation of single photon cluster from two photon cluster based upon distribution of shower energy along a preferred axis.</u>

$$\sigma_{\max} \equiv Max\ Eigenvalue\ of \begin{bmatrix} \Delta\sigma_x^{\ 2} & \Delta\sigma_x\Delta\sigma_y \\ \Delta\sigma_y\Delta\sigma_x & \Delta\sigma_y^{\ 2} \end{bmatrix}$$



Old algorithm with
Energy weighted moments

Improved algorithm with
log energy weighted moments.

Provides clearer separation
Between π^0 and single photon.
Clusters up to ~80 GeV.

From Len's Analysis,

-Single Photon peak changes little with Energy
Single peak at SigmaMax~.5

-Two Photon peak moves toward the Single photon peak as energy increases
Double SigmaMax Peak

38 GeV <SigmaMax>~.85
73 GeV <SigmaMax>~.75



Run 11 distributions of SigmaMax as a indicator of single photon vs π^0 only slowly degrades with higher energy.



60 GeV

80 GeV

110 GeV

150 GeV

Blue Beam Polarization Measurements

- CNI polarimeter data
- Average polarization for 51 consecutive time periods each data set represents ~ ½ day of running.



As from previous slide:

For the **"A_N vs cos(ϕ)"** fits to all FMS data divided into the 51 consecutive time periods.

- 22.4 pb^{-1}
- 2.6< pseudorapidity<4.1
- 40 GeV < Energy π^0< 100 GeV
- Average polarization 48%
- Corrected each of of 51 sets (each set ~ ½ day of data)